JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

SEP 2 1 2007

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

IN	RE:	MOT	OR FU	EL I	[EMPE]	RAT	URE	SAI	LES
PR	ACT	<b>TICES</b>	LITIG	ATI	ON				

Mark Wyatt, et al. v. BP America Corp., et al.,	)	
S.D. California, C.A. No. 3:07-1754	)	MDL No. 1840
Evy Young, et al. v. Ambest, Inc., et al.,	)	
D. Guam, C.A. No. 1:07-26	)	

## **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-8)**

On June 18, 2007, the Panel transferred ten civil actions to the United States District Court for the District of Kansas for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 493 F.Supp.2d 1365 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 32 additional actions have been transferred to the District of Kansas. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Kathryn H. Vratil.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Kansas and assigned to Judge Vratil.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Kansas for the reasons stated in the order of June 18, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Kathryn H. Vratil.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

settery N Lüthi